

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
1	User Selected Options			Background Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects									
2													
3	Date/Time of Computation			7/30/2013 11:22:51 AM									
4	From File			WorkSheet.xls									
5	Full Precision			OFF									
6	Confidence Coefficient			95%									
7	Coverage			95%									
8	rent or Future K Observations			1									
9	mber of Bootstrap Operations			2000									
10													
11	BEHP												
12													
13	General Statistics												
14	Total Number of Observations				63	Number of Missing Observations				0			
15	Number of Distinct Observations				48								
16	Number of Detects				48	Number of Non-Detects				15			
17	Number of Distinct Detects				41	Number of Distinct Non-Detects				10			
18	Minimum Detect				4.2	Minimum Non-Detect				3.2			
19	Maximum Detect				120	Maximum Non-Detect				31			
20	Variance Detected				876.4	Percent Non-Detects				23.81%			
21	Mean Detected				39.8	SD Detected				29.6			
22	Mean of Detected Logged Data				3.37	SD of Detected Logged Data				0.874			
23													
24	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)												
25	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.007	d2max (for USL)				3.045			
26													
27	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only												
28	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.893	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test							
29	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.947	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level							
30	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.143	Lilliefors GOF Test							
31	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.128	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level							
32	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level												
33													
34	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution												
35	Mean				32.86	SD				28.63			
36	95% UTL95% Coverage				90.3	95% KM UPL (t)				81.04			
37	90% KM Percentile (z)				69.54	95% KM Percentile (z)				79.94			
38	99% KM Percentile (z)				99.45	95% KM USL				120			
39													
40	DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution												
41	Mean				32.87	SD				28.7			
42	95% UTL95% Coverage				90.46	95% UPL (t)				81.17			
43	90% Percentile (z)				69.65	95% Percentile (z)				80.08			
44	99% Percentile (z)				99.64	95% USL				120.3			
45	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons												
46													
47	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only												
48	A-D Test Statistic				0.25	Anderson-Darling GOF Test							
49	5% A-D Critical Value				0.765	ected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Lev							
50	K-S Test Statistic				0.0618	Kolmogrov-Smirnoff GOF							
51	5% K-S Critical Value				0.13	ected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Lev							
52	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level												
53													
54	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only												
55	k hat (MLE)				1.743	k star (bias corrected MLE)				1.648			
56	Theta hat (MLE)				22.84	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				24.16			
57	nu hat (MLE)				167.3	nu star (bias corrected)				158.2			
58	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				39.8								
59	MLE Sd (bias corrected)				31.01	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k)				8.32			
60													
61	Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects												
62	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs												

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
63	GROS may not be used when kstar of detected data is small such as < 0.1											
64	For such situations, GROS method tends to yield inflated values of UCLs and BTVs											
65	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates											
66	Minimum				0.01	Mean				32.31		
67	Maximum				120	Median				23		
68	SD				29.23	CV				0.905		
69	k hat (MLE)				1.031	k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.992		
70	Theta hat (MLE)				31.35	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				32.56		
71	nu hat (MLE)				129.9	nu star (bias corrected)				125		
72	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				32.31	MLE Sd (bias corrected)				32.44		
73	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2k)				5.962	90% Percentile				74.54		
74	95% Percentile				97.06	99% Percentile				149.4		
75	The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data											
76	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods											
77					WH	HW					WH	HW
78	Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				117.1	130.2	95% Approx. Gamma UPL				95.31	102.9
79	95% Gamma USL				209.3	255.5						
80												
81	The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates											
82	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods											
83	k hat (KM)				1.317	nu hat (KM)				166		
84					WH	HW					WH	HW
85	Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				108.8	115.5	95% Approx. Gamma UPL				89.77	93.26
86	95% Gamma USL				187.8	214.1						
87												
88	Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only											
89	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.941	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test						
90	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.947	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
91	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.0991	Lilliefors GOF Test						
92	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.128	Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
93	Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
94												
95	Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects											
96	Mean in Original Scale				32.76	Mean in Log Scale				3.1		
97	SD in Original Scale				28.79	SD in Log Scale				0.932		
98	95% UTL95% Coverage				144	95% BCA UTL95% Coverage				109.2		
99	95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage				110	95% UPL (t)				106.5		
100	90% Percentile (z)				73.27	95% Percentile (z)				102.8		
101	99% Percentile (z)				193.9	95% USL				378.9		
102												
103	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
104	KM Mean of Logged Data				3.074	95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage				156.1		
105	KM SD of Logged Data				0.985	95% KM UPL (Lognormal)				113.5		
106	95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z)				109.3	95% KM USL (Lognormal)				434.4		
107												
108	Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
109	Mean in Original Scale				32.87	Mean in Log Scale				3.106		
110	SD in Original Scale				28.7	SD in Log Scale				0.938		
111	95% UTL95% Coverage				146.8	95% UPL (t)				108.3		
112	90% Percentile (z)				74.34	95% Percentile (z)				104.5		
113	99% Percentile (z)				198.1	95% USL				388.9		
114	DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons.											
115												
116	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
117	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
118												
119	Nonparametric Uppper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects)											
120	Order of Statistic, r				62	95% UTL with95% Coverage				110		
121	Approximate f				1.632	Confidence Coefficient (CC) achieved by UTL				0.83		
122	95% UPL				100.4	95% USL				120		
123	95% KM Chebyshev UPL				158.6							
124												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
125	Note: The use of USL to estimate a BTV is recommended only when the data set represents a background											
126	data set free of outliers and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
127	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
128	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
129												